



## A) ENGINEERING DESIGN PROCESS

| Evaluated<br>part | Judging Criteria  | Evaluating details   | Point                         |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------|---|--|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
|                   | Selected problem  | Does not state a problem   | 0                             |  |  |  |  |
|                   | deals with an<br>interesting or<br>challenging  | Statement, but is not a community-based problem                            | 3                             |  |  |  |  |
|                   |   | States a community-based problem but not clearly                           | 5                             |  |  |  |  |
| Ē                 | community issue   | States an interesting or challenging community-based<br>problem            | 10                            |  |  |  |  |
| e                 |   | States a unique community-based problem                                    | 15                            |  |  |  |  |
| 3                 |   | Does not state a question to be answered                                   | 0                             |  |  |  |  |
| G                 | Clear question  | Question is stated but not related to problem                              | 3                             |  |  |  |  |
| Problem Statement | to be answered  | Question is stated, related to problem but not clear                       | 7                             |  |  |  |  |
|                   |   | Question is stated, related to problem and clear                           | 10                            |  |  |  |  |
|                   | Literature search<br>is extensive and   | EACH generic resource (i.e. name of website but not a specific page, etc.) | 10                            |  |  |  |  |
|                   | scholarly<br>sources are<br>reputable and<br>varied                                   | EACH specific resource   | 10<br>15<br>0<br>3<br>7<br>10 |  |  |  |  |
|                   |   | Does not mention the previous solution                                     | 10<br>20<br>0<br>15           |  |  |  |  |
|                   | Describes<br>relevant<br>information<br>that<br>relates to the<br>selected<br>problem | mention the previous solution without citing the sources                   | 15                            |  |  |  |  |
|                   |   | mention the previous solution and all sources cited throughout             | 25                            |  |  |  |  |

www.sicompetition.com







| Evaluated<br>part  | Judging<br>Criteria   |  | Points                   |
|--------------------|---|--|--------------------------|
| DESIGN DEVELOPMENT | Clearly<br>explains the<br>constraints<br>and the<br>criteria for<br>their<br>solution. | <ul> <li>Explains what is required for their solution and criteria are <u>measurable</u>. (Related to the limits and criteria of the solution).</li> <li>Explains what is required for their solution and constraints are <u>measurable and connected to the stated problem</u>. (Related to the limits and criteria of the solution).</li> <li>Explains what is required for their solution and constraints are <u>measurable, connected to the stated problem, and connected to information learned in team research some constraints may be missing</u>. (Related to the limits and criteria of the solution)</li> <li>Explains what is required for their solution and criteria are <u>measurable, connected to the stated problem and connected to information learned in team research some constraints may be missing</u>. (Related to the limits and criteria of the solution)</li> <li>Explains what is required for their solution and criteria are <u>measurable, connected to the stated problem and connected to information learned in team research, and explanation is very clear and complete</u>. (Related to the limits and criteria of the solution).</li> </ul> | 5<br>10<br>15<br>20      |
| I DEVEL            | Clearly<br>explains the<br>connection   | Not all parts of the design components are directly related<br>to the idea being developed and those that are, are less<br>relevant.<br>Most of the design components are somehow linked to the<br>problem being developed.  | 5                        |
| DESIGN             | between the<br>design<br>components<br>and the idea                                     | All components are directly linked to the idea being developed.<br>The Design reflects high exemplary & high relevance to the  | 15<br>20                 |
|                    | Clarify the<br>constants<br>needed for<br>the design                                    | idea being developed.         Does not identify any constants         Identifies only incorrect constants         Identifies some correct and some incorrect constants         Identifies correct constants but leaves some out         Appears to correctly identify all constants  | 0<br>5<br>10<br>15<br>20 |







|  | Clearly<br>explains the<br>solution<br>proposed to<br>the problem      | States a proposed solution to the problem that addresses stated criteria.   | 5  |
|--|--|---|----|
|  |  | States a proposed solution to the problem that addresses stated criteria and addresses stated constraints   | 10 |
|  |  | States a proposed solution to the problem that addresses stated criteria and addresses stated constraints and is very clear and fully explained.                            | 15 |
|  |  | States a proposed solution to the problem that addresses stated criteria, addresses stated constraints, is very clear and fully explained and a labeled drawing is included | 20 |
|  |  | Does not provide a hypothesis   | 0  |
|  |  | An independent variable is stated   | 5  |
|  | Develops a   | An independent and dependent variable are stated  | 10 |
|  | logical<br>hypothesis<br>based on<br>an analysis<br>of all<br>research | The independent and dependent variables are stated and related  | 15 |
|  |  | Both variables are stated and related and evidence of research is present   | 20 |
|  |  | Both variables are stated and related, research is evident, and hypothesis is written in a proper format  | 25 |
|  |  | Both variables are stated and related, research is evident, hypothesis is properly formatted, and <u>can be tested</u>  | 30 |
|  |  | Tried to test the solution but the test wasn't the best for the design.   | 5  |
|  | Clear<br>selection of  | Chooses a method to test proposed solution.   | 10 |
|  | method for<br>testing<br>solution is<br>described                      | Chooses a method to test proposed solution and explains why chosen method was selected.   | 15 |
|  |  | Chooses a method to test proposed solution and explains<br>why chosen method was selected and explanation is clear<br>and makes sense                                       | 20 |







| Evaluated<br>part     | Judging<br>Criteria   | Evaluated Details  | Point |
|-----------------------|---|--|-------|
| BUILDING<br>PROTOTYPE | Explanation<br>of how   | Explains how prototype or model was constructed OR explains what information was used to make a prediction   | 5     |
|                       | prototype or<br>model was<br>constructed<br>OR what<br>information                  | Explains how prototype or model was constructed and relates to<br>proposed solution with some reasonable safety requirements OR<br>explains what information was used to make a prediction and<br>relates to proposed solution   | 10    |
|                       | was used for<br>an educated<br>guess about<br>how the<br>prototype<br>would work is | Explains how prototype or model was constructed and relates to<br>proposed solution and to the stated problem with most of the<br>reasonable safety requirements OR explains what information<br>was used to make a prediction and relates to proposed solution<br>and to the stated problem   | 15    |
|                       | clear and<br>addresses the<br>problem<br>stated.                                    | Explains how prototype or model was constructed and relates to<br>proposed solution and to the stated problem and is very clear and<br>detailed with well-planned safety requirements Or explains what<br>information was used to make a prediction and relates to proposed<br>solution and to the stated problem and is very clear and detailed | 20    |









| Evaluated<br>part | Judging<br>Criteria  | Evaluated Details  | Point |
|-------------------|--|--|-------|
|                   |  | Lists all steps necessary to test prototype OR all steps necessary<br>for a proposed test (if not able to build prototype)   | 5     |
|                   | Explanation<br>of<br>procedures<br>is clear and<br>complete              | Lists all steps necessary to test prototype OR all steps necessary<br>for a proposed test (if not able to build prototype) and includes all<br>necessary safety precautions  | 10    |
| ΥPΕ               |  | Lists all steps necessary to test prototype OR all steps necessary<br>for a proposed test (if not able to build prototype), includes all<br>necessary safety precautions, and clearly relates to proposed<br>solution  | 15    |
| TESTING PROTOTYPE |  | Lists all steps necessary to test prototype OR all steps necessary<br>for a proposed test (if not able to build prototype), includes all<br>necessary safety precautions, clearly relates to proposed<br>solution, and is very clear and correctly uses engineering<br>terminology | 20    |
|                   | Describe all   | 15 Points: Explains AT LEAST 1 problem encountered during testing OR proposed testing (if not able to build prototype)   | 5     |
|                   | problems<br>encountered<br>during testing<br>or predicts<br>problems for | Explains AT LEAST 1 problem encountered during testing OR proposed testing (if not able to build prototype) and problem(s) encountered is/are explained in detail  | 10    |
|                   | proposed<br>testing  | Explains AT LEAST 1 problem encountered during testing OR proposed testing (if not able to build prototype), problem(s) encountered is/are explained in detail, and is very clear and free of spelling and grammar mistakes  | 15    |
|                   | Description<br>of how the  | 5 Points: Describes changes made to prototype or model (or proposed prototype if not able to build one)  | 5     |
|                   | team<br>changed (or<br>would<br>change) their<br>prototype               | Describes changes made to prototype or model (or proposed<br>prototype if not able to build one) and changes are related to<br>problems encountered during testing (or predicted problems)   | 10    |







|   | Describes changes made to prototype or model (or proposed<br>prototype if not able to build one), changes are related to<br>problems encountered during testing (or predicted problems),<br>and appear necessary to achieve proposed solution   | 15 |
|---|---|----|
|   | Describes changes made to prototype or model (or proposed<br>prototype if not able to build one), changes are related to<br>problems encountered during testing (or predicted problems),<br>appear necessary to achieve proposed solution, and includes an<br>explanation of why the changes will improve their solution. | 20 |
|   | No data presented   | 0  |
| A sufficient  | Data not clearly presented  | 5  |
| amount of<br>data is  | Data presented but not related to proposed solution   | 10 |
| collected and<br>well-<br>presented   | Data presented clearly and related to proposed solution but incomplete  | 15 |
|   | Data presented clearly, related to proposed solution and complete   | 20 |
|   | 0 Points: Does not list any errors  | 0  |
|   | Incomplete list of sources of error   | 5  |
| Lists<br>sources of   | Lists sources of error only, no explanation   | 10 |
| error and<br>explains<br>how these<br>could have<br>affected the<br>results | Lists sources of error, explains how affected the results, but vague  | 15 |
|   | Lists sources of error, explains how affected the results, lacks some detail  | 20 |
|   | Lists sources of error, explanation very thorough and free from spelling or grammar errors  | 25 |







| Evaluated part        | Judging<br>Criteria                 | Evaluated Details  | Point |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-------|
|                       |                                     | No conclusion provided   | 0     |
| S                     |                                     | Conclusion provided  | 5     |
| , Ž                   |                                     | Conclusion is related to testing conducted   | 10    |
| SIO                   |                                     | Conclusion is related to the testing and includes data collected   | 15    |
| DRAWING<br>DNCLUSIONS | Provides<br>thorough<br>explanation | Conclusion is related to the testing, includes data collected, and refers to proposed solution   | 20    |
| DR                    | of<br>conclusions<br>drawn          | Conclusion is related to the testing, includes data collected, refers to proposed solution, and refers to original problem stated  | 25    |
| ö                     | based on<br>their testing           | Conclusion is related to the testing, includes data collected, refers to proposed solution, refers to original problem stated, and is well written and clear and free from spelling and grammar errors | 30    |









| Evaluated<br>part | Judging<br>Criteria                          | Evaluating details   | Point |
|-------------------|--|--|-------|
|                   |  | Does not answer the question   | 0     |
|                   |  | How this project helps the community is vague                                    | 10    |
| >                 | Indicates                                    | States the problem, but not how the investigation could help                     | 15    |
| nit               | how this<br>project<br>can help              | Includes the problem and the benefits of the investigation but lacks some detail | 20    |
| Inc               | the<br>communi<br>ty                         | Is complete and very detailed with some spelling/grammar<br>errors               | 25    |
| Community         | .y   | Is complete and very detailed with no spelling/grammar<br>errors                 | 30    |
|                   |  | No impacts are identified  | 0     |
|                   |  | Impacts are identified but some are missing                                      | 10    |
| <b>O</b>          | Indicates                                    | Impacts are identified but lack some detail                                      | 20    |
| th                | the<br>impacts of<br>the project             | All impacts are identified and very detailed with some spelling grammar errors   | 25    |
| efit to the       | on<br>members<br>of the<br>community         | All impacts are identified and very detailed with no spelling/grammar errors     | 30    |
| efi               |  | The benefit to the community is not clear  | 5     |
|                   | Provides                                     | Benefit to the community is somewhat clear                                       | 15    |
| Ben               | clear<br>explanation<br>of benefit<br>to the | Benefit to the community is clear with some spelling/grammar errors              | 20    |
|                   | community                                    | Benefit to the community is made very clear with no spelling/grammar errors      | 30    |







| Evaluated          | Judging   | Evaluating details   | Point        |
|--------------------|---|--|--------------|
| part               | Criteria  |  |              |
|                    |   | Does not explain how team was formed   | 0            |
|                    | Explains<br>how the   | Explains how team was formed but lacks detail  | 3            |
|                    | team was<br>formed  | Fully explains how team was formed   | 5            |
|                    | Clear<br>descripti  | Includes an assigned role for each team member   | 10           |
| tion               | on of the<br>responsi<br>bilities of<br>each<br>team<br>member                          | Includes an assigned role for each team member and includes a description of each team member's role               | 20           |
| ש                  | Explains  | Does not answer the question   | 0            |
| Team Collaboration | the<br>problems<br>(or lack<br>thereof)<br>faced by<br>the team<br>and how<br>they were | Lists problems but not how they were solved OR says they faced no problems but does not explain why                | 5            |
|                    |   | List problems and how they solved them but lacks detail OR explains why they worked well together but lacks detail | 10           |
|                    | overcome<br>(or not)  | Explains problems and solutions in detail OR provides detailed explanation as to why they worked well together     | ed <b>15</b> |
|                    | Explains<br>how   | Does not answer  | 0            |
|                    | working<br>together<br>was<br>helpful   | Advantages to working as a group provided OR how working as individuals would have been more difficult provided    | 10           |
|                    | ximum points :500   | Both are answered  | 20           |

Total maximum points :500

www.sicompetition.com

